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Abstract

All inequivalent binary self-dual [36,18,8] codes with automorphism of order 5 are obtained. It is proved that there does not exist a [38,19,8] self-dual binary code with automorphism of order 5.

1 Introduction

The weight enumerators of self-dual codes of length 36 and 38 with minimal weight 8 are known [1]. For length 36 we have two enumerators:

\[(1) \quad 1 + 225y^8 + 2016y^{10} + 9555y^{12} + 28800y^{14} \cdots \]
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and

\[ 1 + 289y^8 + 1632y^{10} + 10387y^{12} + 28288y^{14} \cdots \]

The codes \( R_2 \) and \( D_3 \) given in [1] have weight enumerators (1) and (2), respectively, and the two possible weight enumerators for length 38 are realized by the codes \( D_4 \) and \( R_3 \). In [6, 2] it is proved that \( D_3 \) and \( D_4 \) are unique double circulant extremal codes for these lengths. All possible odd prime factors of the order of the group of automorphisms of an extremal code of length 36 and 38 are 17, 7, 5, 3 and 19, 7, 5, 3 respectively [7, 8]. It is proved there that there are correspondingly 3 and 7 extremal codes of length 36 and 38 which have automorphism of order 7. Here we consider codes with automorphism of order 5.

2 Codes of length 36

Let \( C \) be a \([36, 18, 8]\) self-dual code with automorphism \( \sigma \) of order 5. It is known [6] that \( \sigma \) fixes exactly 6 points. We may assume that \( \sigma = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)(5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10) \cdots (26, 27, 28, 29, 30) \). Let \( E_\sigma(C) \) be the set of those vectors in \( C \) which have even weight in each cycle of \( \sigma \) and zeros in the fixed points. Denote \( F_\sigma(C) = \{ v \in C | v_\sigma = v \} \). It is known that \( C = F_\sigma(C) \oplus E_\sigma(C) \).

For \( v \in F_\sigma(C) \) let \( \nu v \) be the vector of length 12 obtained from \( v \) by choosing a coordinate from each cycle of \( v \) and from each of the last 6 points. It is known that \( \pi(F_\sigma(C)) \) is a self-dual binary code [3]. All such codes are enumerated in [4]. In the notation used there \( \pi(F_\sigma(C)) \) is equivalent to one of the codes \( C_2^6 \), \( C_2^2 \oplus A_8 \), and \( B_{12} \). As \( \pi(F_\sigma(C)) \) does not have a weight two vector with two ones in the last 6 positions, it cannot be equivalent to \( C_2^6 \) or \( C_2^2 \oplus A_8 \).
Lemma 1 Up to a permutation of the last 6 coordinates the code $\pi(F_\sigma(C))$ is generated by one of the matrices $F_1$, $F_2$:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix},
$$

Proof. Call a duo any pair of coordinates. A cluster for a code is a set of disjoint duos such that the union of any two duos is a support of a weight 4 vector of the code. A d-set of a cluster is a set of coordinates such that its intersection with each duo of the cluster is an one element set. A defining set of a code consist of a cluster and a d-set provided that the weight 4 vectors arising from the cluster and the vector with support the d-set generate the code. $B_{12}$ has a defining set. Each permutation which is a product of transpositions in even number of duos of the defining set is an automorphism of $B_{12}$. Since the minimal weight of $C$ is 8, two duos of the cluster cannot be in the last 6 positions of $\pi(F_\sigma(C))$. There are two cases.

In the first case we assume that there is not a duo in the last 6 positions. Clearly the d-set cannot be in the last 6 positions. Using an appropriate automorphism of the above mentioned type we obtain that 5 coordinates of the d-set are in the last 6 positions of $\pi(F_\sigma(C))$. This leads to the first matrix of Lemma 1.

Secondly we consider the case when only one duo of $B_{12}$ is in the last 6 positions of $\pi(F_\sigma(C))$. Hence there is also a duo in the first 6 positions. This leads to the second matrix.

Let $E_\sigma(C)^*$ be $E_\sigma(C)$ with the last 6 points deleted. Every vector $v$ from $E_\sigma(C)^*$ has even weight in each cycle of $\sigma$. All words of length 5 of even weight form an irreducible cyclic
code which we denote by $P$. The non zero elements of $P$ are
given in table 1. They can be considered as polynomials on
$x$. $P$ is a field with primitive element $\alpha$. Denote by $\phi(v)$ the

| $\alpha^3$ | 11110 | $\alpha^4$ | 10001 | $\alpha^5$ | 01001 |
| $\alpha^6$ | 11101 | $\alpha^7$ | 00011 | $\alpha^8$ | 10010 |
| $\alpha^9$ | 11011 | $\alpha^{10}$ | 00110 | $\alpha^{11}$ | 00101 |
| $\alpha^{12}$ | 10111 | $\alpha^{13}$ | 01100 | $\alpha^{14}$ | 01010 |

vector $v$ considered as a 6-tuple with elements from $P$. It is
known [3] that $\phi(E_\sigma(C)^*)$ is a [6,3] code which is self-dual
under the inner product

$$(u, v) = u_1v_1^4 + u_2v_2^4 + \cdots + u_6v_6^4$$

and next lemma holds.

**Lemma 2** The following transformations applied to $C$ lead
to an equivalent code with automorphism $\sigma$:
(a) a substitution $x \to x^t$ in $\phi(E_\sigma(C)^*)$, $1 \leq t \leq 4$;
(b) a multiplication of any coordinate of $\phi(E_\sigma(C)^*)$ by $\alpha^{12}$;
(c) a permutation of the first 6 cycles of $\sigma$;
(d) a permutation of the last 6 coordinates of $C$.

The proof of the next lemma is omitted.

**Lemma 3** Every $[6,3, d \geq 3]$ code over the field $P$ which is
self-dual under the inner product (3) is equivalent under the
transformations (a), (b), and (c) to one of the two codes with
generator matrices:

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^{10} \\
0 & e & 0 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^5 & e \\
0 & 0 & e & \alpha^{10} & e & \alpha^{10} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 & e & \alpha^5 & \alpha^5 \\
0 & e & 0 & e & \alpha^2 & \alpha^8 \\
0 & 0 & e & \alpha^6 & \alpha^9 \end{pmatrix}.$$
Denote by $C_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$, $1 \leq j \leq 2$, the code determined by the matrices $F_i$ and $E_j$. A computer check shows that these 4 codes are extremal. The codes $C_{11}$ and $C_{12}$ have enumerator (1) and the codes $C_{21}$ and $C_{22}$ have enumerator (2). Thus we obtain

**Theorem 1** Up to equivalence the codes $C_{11}$, $C_{12}$, $C_{21}$, and $C_{22}$ are the only self-dual [36,18,8] codes having automorphism of order 5.

Remark. The codes $C_{11}$, $C_{12}$, and $C_{21}$ are inequivalent. It is an open problem whether $C_{21}$, and $C_{22}$ are equivalent.

## 3 Codes of length 38

**Theorem 2** There does not exist a [38,19,8] self-dual code with automorphism of order 5.

Proof. Assume $C$ is such a code with automorphism $\sigma$ of order 5. It is known that $\sigma$ must fix 8 points. Now $\pi(F_\sigma(C))$ is a self-dual code of length 14. There are 4 inequivalent such codes: $C_2^7$, $C_2^3 \oplus A_8$, $C_2 \oplus B_{12}$, and $D_{14}$ [4]. It is easy to be seen that $\pi(F_\sigma(C))$ is not equivalent to $C_2^7$, $C_2^3 \oplus A_8$, and $C_2 \oplus B_{12}$. It remains that $\pi(F_\sigma(C))$ is equivalent to $D_{14}$. Consider a generator matrix of $\pi(F_\sigma(C))$ of the form

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B \\
D & E
\end{array}
\]

where the matrices $A$, $B$, $D$, and $E$ are of types $k_a \times 6$, $k_b \times 8$, $k_d \times 6$, and $k_e \times 8$ with $k_a$, $k_b$, $k_d$, and $k_e$ being the ranks of $A$, $B$, $D$, and $E$, respectively. It is known [5, p.175] that $k_d = k_e$, $2k_a + k_d = 6$, and $2k_b + k_e = 8$. Hence $k_b = k_a + 1$ and $k_b \geq 1$. As $B$ must generate a code of minimal weight at
least 8 we conclude that $k_b = 1$. Hence $B = (11111111)$ and $k_a = 0$. As the all one vector belongs to $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C))$ the vector $11111100000000$ must be in $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C))$ too. This is in conflict with $k_a = 0$. The theorem is proved.
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